



URBANGAIA

Deliverable 4

SEA governance analysis





URBANGAIA

Funded by:



Research
Council of
Lithuania



Partners:



Financed by the European Union under the BiodivERsA3 Program

Project number: BiodivERsA3-20015-143

Project acronym: UrbanGaia

Project full title: Managing urban Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure to increase city resilience

Start of the project: 01 March 2017

Duration: 36 months

Project coordinator: Centro de Estudos de Recursos Naturais, Ambiente e Sociedade (CERNAS)

Project website <http://www.urbangaia.eu>

Deliverable title: SEA evaluation report

Deliverable n°: D4

Nature of the deliverable: Report

Dissemination level: Internal use only

WP: Governance analysis of the case studies

Lead beneficiary: Escola Superior Agrária de Coimbra (ESAC | IPC)

Citation: do not cite

The contents of this deliverable do not necessarily reflect the official opinions of the European Commission or other institutions of the European Union.

1 Introduction

Given the absence of a consolidated European Legislation Body on Green Infrastructures, the consortium decided to expand the analysis to the relevant grey legislative documents at European Level and analyze each document in relation to 34 Critical Decision Factors relevant to the consistency and performance of the current documents, namely in what concerns aspects related with green infrastructure functioning, the coherence, impact and level of integration of the existing documents, their compliance with the principles stated at the general environmental legislation, the capacity to build an enabling power and collaboration framework, and the capacity to generate wealth and green jobs by promoting business opportunities for the civil society.

The main objective is to contribute to the fabrication of a sound, consolidated and fully functional European Legislation towards the planning, implementation, management and governance of Green Infrastructures in general and at Urban areas in particular.

The analysis witnesses the evolution of environmental thinking and legislation for the last 30 years, with a the more modern documents witnessing the emergence of issues relevant to the long-time economic sustainability of the solutions, namely in their contribution to the Circular, Low Carbon and bioeconomy, and the uprising concern of promoting green wealth and jobs.

The present Deliverable is consubstantiated in a paper published in an international journal, and Table 1 shows the resume of the analysis performed for the preparation of this document.

Table 1 - document analysis related to 34 critical decision factors

Ref.	a	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34		
	Documents/ Critical Factors	Definition of GI Reference to funding programs Interaction w/ other policies: CAP, Spatial planning, Forestry strategy Multiple scale and integrated approach Creation of sense of community Use as climate change and disaster risk management Fostering natural capital Cost effective green solutions (waste water) Nature conservation Integrating GI into key policy and funding programs Contribution to circular economy and bio-economy Public participation and citizen science													Transparency	Equity	Accountability	Knowledge sharing and learning	Conflict resolution	Resilience and innovation	Integrated landscape planning	Horizontal coordination across sectors and jurisdictions	Vertical coordination among levels	Connectivity to national and international developments	Coordination of customary and formal governance	Perceptions and knowledge of sustainability	The presence of enabling rules	Implementation and enforcement	Promotion of sustainable practices	Non Government actors involvement	Conflict prevention	Construção de visões hegemónicas	Induces cooperation	Enabling power networking	Enabling Negotiation	Business	
2	COM(2013)249final	✓✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	✓	✓	✓	-	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	SWD(2013)155final	✓-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	-	-	-	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-		
4	COM(2011)244final	✓-	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	✓
5	COM(2012)710final	✓-	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	COM(2013)216final	✓-	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	-	✓	✓	-	-	-	✓	✓	✓	-	-	✓
7	DECISION No 1386/2013/EU	✓✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
8	COM(95) 189 final	-	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	✓	✓	-	✓	-	-	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	-
9	COM(2004)60 final	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	-	✓
10	SEC(2008) 3043 vol.1	-	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	-	-	✓	✓	-	✓	-	-	-	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	
11	SEC(2008) 3043 vol.2	-	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	-	✓	-	-	-	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	
12	COM(2008) 864 final	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	-	-	✓	✓	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	-	-	-	✓	✓	✓	
13	SWD(2015) 187 final	✓✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
14	COM(2009) 147 final	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	-	-	-	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	
15	DECISION No 2179/98/EC	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	-	-	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	